N

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/531,996	Applicant(s) MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Curtis B. Odom	Art Unit 2634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Curtis B. Odom*.

(3)*Dr. Chuck Pateros*.

(2) *George B. F. Yee*.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: *17 August 2005*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
        c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☐ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1-72*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Kondo (U. S. Patent No. 6, 480, 523*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *It was agreed upon that Kondo disclosed the limitations of the claims as recited. Proposed amendments were presented and discussed to overcome the prior art rejection with respect to Kondo.*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required